

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
www.nispto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/888,214  | 06/21/2001      | Frank Melzer            | LO25-003            | 8415             |
| 21567   | 7590 01/15/2003 |                         |                     |                  |
| WELLS ST. JOHN ROBERTS GREGORY & MATKIN P.S.                |                 |                         | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 601 W. FIRST AVENUE<br>SUITE 1300<br>SPOKANE, WA 99201-3828 |                 | SHAFER, RICKY D         |                     |                  |
|   |                 |                         | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                 |                         | 2872                |                  |
|   |                 | DATE MAILED: 01/15/2002 |                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   |  | 2   |  |
|---|---|--|---|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   | -   |  |
| Office Action Summary   | 0 4/858,214   | ME   | Group Art Unit  |  |
|   | Examiner  |  | Group Art Unit  |  |
| The AAAH INIO DATE - CALLS - C. A.  | K.D. SHI  | 1776/2   | 2872  |  |
| -The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet be   | eneath the co  | rrespondence address—   |  |
| P riod for Reply  | Λ   |  |   |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  |   |  |   |  |
| <ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by def.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by:</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>   | a reply within the statutory minifault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  | mum of thirty (3<br>m the mailing d  | 0) days will be considered timely.<br>ate of this communication.                            |  |
| Status  | £   |  |   |  |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on   | 22/07   |  | •   |  |
| ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .   | •   |  |   |  |
| <ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exce<br/>accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19</li> </ul>  | ept for formal matters, <b>pros</b><br>935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.  | ecution as to  | o the merits is closed in   |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |   |  |
| ⊠ Claim(s) 1 - 2  |   |  |   |  |
|   | Is/are pe   | anding in the application  |   |  |
| Of the above claim(s)   |   | is/are w   | ithdrawn from consideration   |  |
| ☐ Claim(s)  |   | is/are w   | ithdrawn from consideration.  |  |
| ☐ Claim(s)  |   | is/are w   | ithdrawn from consideration.  |  |
| ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s)  |   | is/are w<br>is/are all<br>is/are re  | ithdrawn from consideration.<br>lowed.<br>jected.   |  |
| ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s)  |   | is/are w<br>is/are all<br>is/are re  | ithdrawn from consideration.<br>lowed.<br>jected.   |  |
| □ Claim(s) |   | is/are w is/are all is/are re is/are ob are subje requirerr                            | ithdrawn from consideration. lowed. jected. pjected to. ect to restriction or election      |  |
| □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ □ Claim(s) □ Claim( | is □ approved □   | is/are w is/are all is/are re is/are re is/are ob are subje requirerr disapproved      | ithdrawn from consideration. lowed. jected. pjected to. ect to restriction or election      |  |
| □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are objection of the proposed o | is □ approved □   | is/are w is/are all is/are re is/are re is/are ob are subje requirerr disapproved      | ithdrawn from consideration. lowed. jected. pjected to. ect to restriction or election      |  |
| Claim(s)  | is □ approved □   | is/are w is/are all is/are re is/are re is/are ob are subje requirerr disapproved      | ithdrawn from consideration. lowed. jected. pjected to. ect to restriction or election      |  |
| □ Claim(s) □ I □ Y ∧ ▷ Y 15 - 2    Application Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are objection of the specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   | is □ approved □   | is/are w is/are all is/are re is/are re is/are ob are subje requirerr disapproved      | ithdrawn from consideration. lowed. jected. pjected to. ect to restriction or election      |  |
| Claim(s)  I - Y ∧ ~ N   S - Z    Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on  | is □ approved □<br>ected to by the Examiner   | is/are w is/are all is/are re is/are re is/are ot are subj requirerr disapproved       | ithdrawn from consideration. lowed. jected. pjected to. ect to restriction or election      |  |
| □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority   | is □ approved □<br>ected to by the Examiner   | is/are w is/are all is/are re is/are re is/are ot are subj requirerr disapproved       | ithdrawn from consideration. lowed. jected. pjected to. ect to restriction or election      |  |
| Claim(s)  Claim | is □ approved □ ected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(  | is/are w is/are all is/are re is/are re is/are ot are subj requirerr disapproved       | ithdrawn from consideration. lowed. jected. pjected to. ect to restriction or election      |  |
| Claim(s)  Indication Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on  is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority  All □ Some* □ None of the:  □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been   | is approved cected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(   | is/are w is/are all is/are re is/are re is/are ob are subje requirer disapproved       | ithdrawn from consideration. lowed. jected. pjected to. ect to restriction or election nent |  |
| Claim(s)  Claim | is approved cected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(a) received.   | is/are w is/are all is/are re is/are re is/are ob are subje requirer disapproved       | ithdrawn from consideration. lowed. jected. pjected to. ect to restriction or election nent |  |
| Claim(s)  Indication Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on  is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority  All □ Some*□ None of the:  Certified copies of the priority documents have been  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  | is approved cected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(a) received. received in Application No. ats have been received                                | is/are w is/are all is/are re is/are re is/are ob are subje requirem disapproved       | ithdrawn from consideration. lowed. jected. pjected to. ect to restriction or election nent |  |
| Claim(s)  Indexion Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on  is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority  All □ Some*□ None of the:  Certified copies of the priority documents have been  Certified copies of the priority documents have been  Copies of the certified copies of the priority document in this national stage application from the International   | is approved cected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(a) received. received in Application No. ats have been received al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)    | is/are w is/are all is/are re is/are re is/are ob are subje requirer disapproved (d).  | ithdrawn from consideration. lowed. jected. pjected to. ect to restriction or election nent |  |
| Claim(s)  Indexion Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on  is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The drawing(s) filed on  is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority  All □ Some*□ None of the:  Certified copies of the priority documents have been  Copies of the certified copies of the priority document in this national stage application from the International *Certified copies not received:   | is approved cected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(a) received. received in Application No. ats have been received al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)    | is/are w is/are all is/are re is/are re is/are ob are subje requirer disapproved (d).  | ithdrawn from consideration. lowed. jected. pjected to. ect to restriction or election nent |  |
| Claim(s)  Indexion Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on  is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The drawing(s) filed on  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority  All □ Some*□ None of the:  Certified copies of the priority documents have been  Copies of the certified copies of the priority document in this national stage application from the International *Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)  | is approved cected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(a) received. received in Application No. ats have been received al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)    | is/are w is/are all is/are re is/are re is/are ob are subje requirer disapproved (d).  | ithdrawn from consideration. lowed. jected. pjected to. ect to restriction or election nent |  |
| Claim(s)  In Claim(s)  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.  | is approved cected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–( received. received in Application No. ats have been received al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)      | is/are w is/are all is/are re is/are re is/are ob are subje requirer disapproved (d).  | ithdrawn from consideration. lowed. jected. pjected to. ect to restriction or election nent |  |
| Claim(s)  Indexion Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on  is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The drawing(s) filed on  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority  All □ Some*□ None of the:  Certified copies of the priority documents have been  Copies of the certified copies of the priority document in this national stage application from the International *Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)  | is approved cected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(received. received in Application No. ats have been received al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) o(s). | is/are w is/are all is/are re is/are re is/are ob are subje requirem disapproved  (d). | ithdrawn from consideration. lowed. jected. pjected to. ect to restriction or election nent |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/888,214

Art Unit: 2872

- 1. Applicant's election of species "A", depicted by Fig. 2, in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 5-14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 8.
- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-4, drawn to a method of a joining together a multiplicity of optical elements on a body, classified in class 359, subclass 350.
  - II. Claims 15-21, drawn to a faceted mirror for beam mixing and field lighting for a lighting system, classified in class 359, subclass 845.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process as claimed can be used to make other materially different product (i.e. a lens array or a product without reinforcements or cooling channels). In addition, that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (i.e. a process depicted by Fig. 3 or a process without "galvanoplastic" techniques).

Art Unit: 2872

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Moreover, the search required for Group I would further require a search in class 359, subclass 900 and class 262, subclass 2.7 which would not be required for Group II and the search required for Group II would further require a search in class 362, subclass 346 which would not be required for Group I.

- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R.D. Shafer whose telephone number is (703) 308-4813.

RDS

January 12, 2003

Rugashf = 2872